Attorney Docket Number: 26349.52 Customer Number: 26783

REMARKS

The specification has been amended to update cross-reference information. Claims 1-10 and 12-21 are currently pending. Reconsideration of this application in light of the above amendments and the following remarks is requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 8-10 and 12-14 have been amended to include reference to a recordable medium for storing the instructions. It is hereby submitted that this amendment has not narrowed the scope of the system claims, but has clarified the otherwise inherent fact that the instructions are stored on a recordable medium.

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Claims 1-5, 8-10, 12, 15-17, and 19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. A Terminal Disclaimer is included herewith to overcome this rejection.

Conclusion

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the below listed telephone number, should he have any questions or comments regarding the response.

Respectfully submitted,

David M. O'Dell

Registration No. 42,044

Date: 6-14-04
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
901 Main Street, Suite 3100
Dallas, Texas 75202-3789
Telephone: 972/739-8635
Facsimile: 972/692-9118

R-71582_1.DOC

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner For Patents, Commissioner For Patents, PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

_

Bonne Boyle